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## Naive Bayes Classifier

- A naive bayes classifier is based on applying Bayes theorem to find the class of a pattern.
- The assumption made here is that every feature is class conditionally independent.
- Due to this assumption, the probabilistic classifier is simple.
- In other words, it is assumed that the effect of each feature on a given class is independent of the value of other features.
- Since this simplifies the computation, though it may not be always true, it is considered to be a naive classifier.
- Even though this assumption is made, the Naive Bayes Classifier is found to give results comparable in performance to other classifiers like neural network classifiers and classification trees.
- Since the calculations are simple, this classifier can be used for large databases where the results are obtained fast with reasonable accuracy.
- Using the minimum error rate classifier, we classify the pattern $X$ to the class with the maximum posterior probability $P(c \mid X)$. In the naive bayes classifier, this can be written as
$P\left(C \mid f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)$.
where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}$ are the features.
- Using Bayes theorem, this can be written as
$P\left(C \mid f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)=\frac{P(C) P\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right) \mid C}{p\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)}$
Since every feature $f_{i}$ is independent of every other feature $f_{j}$, for $j \neq i$, given the class
$P\left(f_{i}, f_{j} \mid C\right)=P\left(f_{i} \mid C\right) P\left(f_{j} \mid C\right)$
So we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(C, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)=P(C) P\left(f_{1} \mid C\right) P\left(f_{2} \mid C\right) \cdots p\left(f_{d} \mid C\right) \\
& = \\
& p(C) \prod_{i=1}^{d} p\left(f_{i} \mid C\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditional distribution over the class variable $C$ is

$$
p\left(C \mid f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} p(C) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left(f_{i} \mid C\right)
$$

where $Z$ is a scaling factor.

- The Naive Bayes classification uses only the prior probabilities of classes $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})$ and the independent probability distributions $p\left(f_{i} \mid C\right)$.


## Parameter Estimation

- In supervised learning, a training set is given. Using the training set, all the parameters of the bayes model can be computed.
- If $n_{C}$ of the training examples out of $n$ belong to Class $C$, then the prior probability of Class $C$ will be
$P(C)=\frac{n_{C}}{n}$
- In a class $C$, if $n_{1}$ samples take a range of values (or a single value) out of a total of $n_{C}$ samples in the class, then the prior probability of the
feature being in this range in this class will be
$P\left(f_{1}\right.$ is in range $\left.(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{C}}$

In case of the feature taking a small number of integer values, this can be calculated for each of these values. For example, it would be
$P\left(f_{1}\right.$ is 6$)=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{C}}$
if $n_{2}$ of the $n_{C}$ patterns of Class $c$ take on the value 6 .

- If some class and feature never occur together, then that probability will be zero. When this is multiplied by other probabilities, it may make some probabilities zero. To prevent this, it is necessary to give a small value of probability to every probability estimate.
- Let us estimate the parameters for a training set which has 100 patterns of Class 1, 90 patterns of Class 2, 140 patterns of Class 3 and 100 patterns of Class 4. The prior probability of each class can be calculated.
The prior probability of Class 1 is
$P\left(C_{1}\right)=\frac{100}{100+90+140+100}=0.233$

The prior probability of Class 2 is
$P\left(C_{2}\right)=\frac{90}{100+90+140+100}=0.210$

The prior probability of Class 3 is
$P\left(C_{2}\right)=\frac{140}{100+90+140+100}=0.326$

The prior probability of Class 4 is

$$
P\left(C_{2}\right)=\frac{100}{100+90+140+100}=0.233
$$

Out of the 100 examples of Class 1, if we consider a particular feature $f_{1}$ and if 30 patterns take on the value 0,45 take on the value 1 and 25 take on the value 2, then the prior probability that in Class 1 the feature $f_{1}$ is 0 is
$P\left(f_{1}\right.$ is 0$)=\frac{30}{100}=0.03$

The prior probability that in Class 1 the feature $f_{1}$ is 1 is
$P\left(f_{1}\right.$ is 1$)=\frac{45}{100}=0.45$
The prior probability that in Class 1 the feature $f_{1}$ is 2 is

$$
P\left(f_{1} \text { is } 2\right)=\frac{25}{100}=0.25
$$

## Example for Naive Bayes Classifier

Let us take an example dataset.
Consider the example given in decision trees given in the Table 1. We have a new pattern
money $=90$, has-exams=yes, and weather=fine
We need to classify this pattern as either belonging to goes-to-movie=yes or goes-to-movie=no.
There are four examples out of 11 belonging to goes-to-movie=yes.
The prior probability of P (goes-to-movie $=$ yes $)=\frac{4}{11}=0.364$
The prior probability of $\mathrm{P}($ goes-to-movie $=$ no $)=\frac{7}{11}=0.636$
There are 4 examples with money $50-150$ and goes-to-movie=no and 1 examples with money $<50$ and goes-to-movie=yes. Therefore,

| Money | Has-exams | weather | Goes-to-movie |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | no | fine | no |
| 200 | no | hot | yes |
| 100 | no | rainy | no |
| 125 | yes | rainy | no |
| 30 | yes | rainy | no |
| 300 | yes | fine | yes |
| 55 | yes | hot | no |
| 140 | no | hot | no |
| 20 | yes | fine | no |
| 175 | yes | fine | yes |
| 110 | no | fine | yes |

Table 1: Example training data set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { money } 50-150 \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { yes })=\frac{1}{4}=0.25 \text { and } \\
& P(\text { money } 50-150 \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { no })=\frac{4}{7}=0.429
\end{aligned}
$$

There are 4 examples with has-exams=yes and goes-to-movie=no and 2 examples with has-exams=yes and goes-to-movie=yes. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { has }- \text { exams } \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { yes })=\frac{2}{4}=0.5 \\
& P(\text { has }- \text { exams } \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { no })=\frac{4}{7}=0.429
\end{aligned}
$$

There are 2 examples with weather=fine and goes-to-movie=no and 2 examples with weather=fine and goes-to-movie=yes. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { weather }=\text { fine } \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { yes })=\frac{2}{4}=0.5 \\
& P(\text { weather }=\text { fine } \mid \text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { no })=\frac{2}{7}=0.286
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { yes } \mid X)=0.364 * 0.25 * 0.5 * 0.5=0.023 \\
& P(\text { goes }- \text { to }- \text { movie }=\text { no } \mid X)=0.636 * 0.429 * 0.429 * 0.286=0.033
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P($ goes - to-movie $=$ no $\mid X)$ is larger, the new pattern is classified as belonging to the class goes-to-movie=no.

